# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



# NOTE ON AN ALTERNATIVE MECHANISM FOR LOGISTIC GROWTH

D. P. Gaver P. A. Jacobs R. L. Carpenter

November 1995

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Prepared for:

NPS Direct Funded Research Program

Naval Medical Research Institute/Toxicology Detachment Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

U.S. Army Biomedical Research & Development Laboratory Ft. Detrick, MD 21702-5010

FedDocs D 208.14/2 NPS-OR-95-013 Fealocs D 208.14|2 NPS-OR-95-013 ≤ 2

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY CA 93943-5101

### NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY, CA 93943-5000

Rear Admiral M. J. Evans Superintendent Richard Elster Provost

This report was prepared in conjunction with research funded by the Naval Medical Research Institute/Toxicology Detachment, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio; the U.S. Army Biomedical Research & Development Laboratory, Ft. Detrick, MD 21702-5010; and the Naval Postgraduate School Direct Funded Research Program.

Reproduction of all or part of this report is authorized.

This report was prepared by:



| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE  |   |  | Form Approved<br>OMB No. 0704-0188   |
|--|---|--|--|
| Public reporting burden for this collection of in<br>gathering and maintaining the data needed,<br>collection of information, including suggestion<br>Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA   | nformation is estimated to average 1 hour per<br>and completing and reviewing the collection of<br>ns for reducing this burden, to Washington He<br>22202-4302, and to the Office of Manageme | response, including the time for rev<br>of information. Send comments reg-<br>adquarters Services, Directorate for<br>nt and Budget, Paperwork Reduction | newing instructions, searching existing data sources<br>arding this burden estimate or any other aspect of t<br>r Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jeffersi<br>on Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503. |
| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)   | 2. REPORT DATE<br>November 1995   | 3. REPORT TYPE AND DA<br>Technical   | TES COVERED  |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE<br>Note on An Alternativ   | e Mechanism for Logisti   | c Growth   | 5. FUNDING NUMBERS   |
| 6. AUTHOR(S)<br>Donald P. Gaver, Patri   | icia A. Jacobs, and Rober   | t L. Carpenter   |  |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAM   | AE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)   |  | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION<br>REPORT NUMBER  |
| Naval Postgraduate So<br>Monterey, CA 93943  | chool   |  | NPS-OR-95-013  |
| 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGE<br>NPS Direct Funded Re   | NCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)   |  | 10. SPONSORING / MONITORING<br>AGENCY REPORT NUMBER  |
| Naval Medical Resear<br>Wright-Patterson Air I   | ch Institute/Toxicology 1<br>Force Base, OH 45433-65  | Detachment<br>03   |  |
| U.S. Army Biomedical<br>Ft. Detrick, MD 21702-   | Research & Developme<br>-5010   | nt Laboratory  |  |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  |   |  |  |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY   | STATEMENT   |  | 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE   |
| Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.  |   |  |  |
| 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wor  | rds)  |  |  |
| Populations of cells that make up organ tissue grow and contract. A traditional approach to<br>modeling organ size restriction to an observed "normal" level is to postulate a physical<br>carrying capacity: effectively a limit on the physical region that can be occupied by the organ.<br>The purpose of this note is to provide a very simple model for a cell population that grows<br>under the control of positive and negative growth factors. It will be seen that such a model can<br>result in logistic growth without the necessity of postulating a physical carrying capacity. |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
| Logistic growth curve  | s, growth factors   |  | 19<br>16. PRICE CODE   |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>OF REPORT   | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>OF THIS PAGE   | 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICAT<br>OF ABSTRACT  | ION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT   |
| Unclassified   | Unclassified  | Unclassified   | UL   |

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18



# Note on An Alternative Mechanism for Logistic Growth

# Donald P. Gaver

# Patricia A. Jacobs

# Department of Operations Research Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943

### **Robert L. Carpenter**

Naval Medical Research Institute Wright Patterson AFB, OH 45433

### ABSTRACT

Populations of cells that make up organ tissue grow and contract. A traditional approach to modeling organ size restriction to an observed "normal" level is to postulate a physical carrying capacity: effectively a limit on the physical region that can be occupied by the organ. The purpose of this note is to provide a very simple model for a cell population that grows under the control of positive and negative growth factors. It will be seen that such a model can result in logistic growth without the necessity of postulating a physical carrying capacity.

Key Words: Logistic growth curves, growth factors

# Note on An Alternative Mechanism for Logistic Growth

# Donald P. Gaver

# Patricia A. Jacobs

Department of Operations Research Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943

Robert L. Carpenter

Naval Medical Research Institute Wright Patterson AFB, OH 45433

### 1. Introduction

Populations of cells that make up organ tissue grow and contract in a manner that is roughly analogous to the fluctuations of other natural populations. Since organs are of bounded size their growth is not entirely uncontrolled and exponential overall, or otherwise a human or rat liver would either assume a totally outlandishly large size, or else shrink to zero. Neither such alternative is seen in nature, although organ sizes do vary among otherwise comparable members of the same species. And organs of mature hosts can change in size as a result of disease, toxic insult, or an operation such as partial hepatectomy, from which a liver can recover again to normal size and function. A traditional approach to modeling population (= organ) size restriction to an observed "normal" level is to postulate a *carrying capacity*: effectively a limit on the physical region that can be occupied by the population. This formulation apparently goes back to Verhulst (1836); see Murray (1989) for recent discussion. In the organ situation this might correspond to a space of approximately pre-ordained dimension that, say, liver cells in liver tissue cannot exceed in the body of a mature human male. The space can be taken as given, introduced into other models as a parameter, and in particular cases estimated from data. It would be the maximum size of the liver compartment in a PB-PK model, for example.

There is another alternative to the above approach that depends upon recognition and measurement of the presence of various biological agents called *growth factors*. There are a number of such factors that both stimulate (positively) and inhibit (negatively) cell population growth. Growth factors are discussed by Alberts *et al.* (1994). Aaronson (1991) provides an overview of growth factors in cancer; see also Rubin, Bottaro, and Aaronson (1993). The purpose of this note is to provide a very simple model for a cell population that grows under the control of positive and negative growth factors. It will be seen that such a model can result in logistic growth without the necessity of postulating a *physical* carrying capacity. An *effective carrying capacity* appears as a result of presumed growth factor interaction with cells.

### 2. Model for a Cell Population Under Growth Factor Control

Suppose a population of cells is of size C(t) at time t. Its individual cell growth or birth rate is  $\lambda_0$ , and its death rate is  $\mu_0$ , so its net growth rate,  $\lambda_0 - \mu_0$ , governs the manner and rate of growth. Starting with C(0) members, and left alone, the population would grow roughly like  $C(t) \sim C(0)e^{(\lambda_0 - \mu_0)t}$ , which means either to

a large size  $(\lambda_0 - \mu_0 > 0)$ , or to zero  $(\lambda_0 - \mu_0 < 0)$ . Clearly such unrestricted behavior is inappropriate for describing a population of cells that constitutes an entire organ, although essentially such a model has been used to describe growth of tumors within an organ; see Tan (1991) for an overview; in particular the work of Moolgavkar and co-authors, cited in Tan (1991), is relevant.

Now introduce a quantity  $\alpha(t)$  of a *positive growth factor* into the vicinity of the cell population. The amount present,  $\alpha(t)$ , changes cell birth rate to  $\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \alpha(t)$ , where we take  $\lambda_1 > 0$ . Also introduce a quantity  $\beta(t)$  of *negative growth factor*; it changes cell death rate to  $\mu_0 + \mu_1 \beta(t)$ ,  $\mu_1 > 0$ . Then changing levels of  $\alpha(t)$  and  $\beta(t)$  can certainly alter the properties of the cell population, from net growth to net decline, depending upon values of  $\alpha(t)$  and  $\beta(t)$ .

Assume that the productions of both  $\alpha(t)$  and  $\beta(t)$  are regulated by cell activity in such a way that

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \rho_{\alpha}C(t) - \delta_{\alpha}\alpha(t)$$
(2.1)

and

$$\frac{d\beta}{dt} = \rho_{\beta} C(t) - \delta_{\beta} \beta(t).$$
(2.2)

That is, both are stimulated to increase by the number of cells present, and to diminish in proportion to their own concentration, possibly being removed from the cell site (organ) by blood flow or metabolism or other biological processes. Of course the above equations are prime candidates for replacement by others that more accurately depict the true interactions.

In the presence of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ -factors the cells in the organ grow and decline according to

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = \left[\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \alpha(t)\right] C(t) - \left[\mu_0 + \mu_1 \beta(t)\right] C(t).$$
(2.3)

So (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) form a system of three non-linear differential equations. No explicit solution seems immediately available, *unless* we make the quasi-static or quasi-steady-state assumption (QSSA); see Strogatz (1994) for its invocation so as to solve a non-linear dynamics problem along with some historical references, and Segel and Slemrod (1989) for a careful discussion of this approximation. Namely assume that  $\alpha(t)$  and  $\beta(t)$  are able to adapt very quickly to any current value of C(t) to always reach a "temporary steady state":

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} \approx 0 = \rho_{\alpha} C(t) - \delta_{\alpha} \alpha(t)$$
(2.4)

$$\frac{d\beta}{dt} \equiv 0 = \rho_{\beta} C(t) - \delta_{\beta} \beta(t).$$
(2.5)

Adopt the approximation as true, so solve (2.4) and (2.5) for  $\alpha(t)$  and  $\beta(t)$ :

$$\alpha(t) = (\rho_{\alpha}/\delta_{\alpha})C(t)$$
(2.6)

and

$$\beta(t) = \left(\rho_{\beta} / \delta_{\beta}\right) C(t). \tag{2.7}$$

Let us call  $(\rho_{\alpha}/\delta_{\alpha})$  and  $(\rho_{\beta}/\delta_{\beta})$  the *prevalences* of the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  factors respectively. Insert these into (2.3) and for convenience, put  $\lambda'_1 = \lambda_1(\rho_{\alpha}/\delta_{\alpha}), \ \mu'_1 = \mu_1(\rho_{\beta}/\delta_{\beta}),$ to obtain

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = [\lambda_0 + \lambda_1'C(t)]C(t) - [\mu_0 + \mu_1'C(t)]C(t) 
= (\lambda_0 - \mu_0)C(t) - (\mu_1' - \lambda_1')C^2(t) 
= (\lambda_0 - \mu_0)C(t) \left[1 - \frac{\mu_1' - \lambda_1'}{\lambda_0 - \mu_0}C(t)\right].$$
(2.8)

This conforms exactly to the original logistic equation *if* the ordinary net growth rate,  $\Delta = \lambda_0 - \mu_0$ , is *positive*, as is the effective carrying capacity

$$K = \frac{\lambda_0 - \mu_0}{\mu_1' - \lambda_1'}.$$
 (2.9)

Under the above conditions and starting from C(0) > 0, the population attains the long-run steady-state value

$$C(\infty) = K = \frac{\lambda_0 - \mu_0}{\mu_1(\rho_\beta/\delta_\beta) - \lambda_1(\rho_\alpha/\delta_\alpha)}.$$
(2.10)

The above version of carrying capacity makes intuitive sense in that

- (a) it increases with net population growth rate,  $\lambda_0 \mu_0$ ;
- (b) it *decreases* with *increased* prevalence of negative growth factor,  $(\rho_{\beta}/\delta_{\beta})$ , and with *decreased* prevalence of positive growth factor,  $(\rho_{\alpha}/\delta_{\alpha})$ ;
- (c) the inhibition effect of negative growth factor,  $\mu'_1 = \mu_1(\rho_\beta/\delta_\beta)$ , must exceed the stimulative effect of the positive growth factor,

$$\lambda_1' = \lambda_1(\rho_\alpha/\delta_\alpha).$$

If any of the above conditions are violated the population development becomes radically different, but can also be interesting.

The time-dependent population size is seen to be of the familiar logistic growth form

$$C(t) = \frac{KC(0)e^{\Delta t}}{K - C(0) + C(0)e^{\Delta t}}$$
(2.11)

with *K* as in (2.10),  $\Delta = \lambda_0 - \mu_0 > 0$ , and 0 < C(0) < K.

Note that the formula has biological meaning even if C(0) > K, and also if K < 0: suppose that  $\Delta = \lambda_0 - \mu_0 > 0$  but  $\lambda'_1 > \mu'_1$ ; then write K' = -K > 0 to get

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = \Delta C(t) [1 + C(t)/K'], \qquad (2.12)$$

the solution to which is

$$C(t) = \frac{K'C(0)e^{\Delta t}}{K' + C(0) - C(0)e^{\Delta t}}$$
(2.13)

if  $t < \frac{1}{\Delta} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{K'}{C(0)} \right)$ ; it explodes when  $t = \frac{1}{\Delta} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{K'}{C(0)} \right)$ ; this might plausibly

model an especially malignant tumor growth. Finally when  $\Delta = \lambda_0 - \mu_0 < 0$  and K' = -K > 0 we simply get (2.11) with  $-\Delta$  replacing  $\Delta$ , once again a logistic model, but now one that decreases as *t* increases.

### 3. Stochastic Models

The above model can be "made stochastic" in various ways. One is to re-state the growth factor and cell-growth equations as a system of three non-linear Ito-type stochastic differential equations. Analytical solutions are not likely to be available, but some asymptotics might well produce explicit results.

Another approach is to *assume* that a stochastic version of C(t), namely C(t), is a birth-and-death process with transition rates copied from the right-hand side of (2.8). For example, C(t) evolves over state space (0, 1, 2, ...) according to

$$P\{C(t+dt) = C(t) + 1 | C(t) = i\} = (\lambda_0 - \mu_0)idt + o(dt)$$
(3.1,a)

$$P\{C(t+dt) = C(t) - 1 | C(t) = i\} = (\mu'_1 - \lambda'_1)i^2 dt + o(dt);$$
(3.1,b)

these holding when  $\Delta = \lambda_0 - \mu_0 > 0$ ,  $\mu'_1 - \lambda'_1 > 0$ ; otherwise modification is needed. Although  $E[C(t)|C(0) = C(0)] \neq C(t)$  because of the non-linearity in the generator, (3.1), it can be of interest to study the above stochastic version's transient properties, such as first-passage times from low states (population size) to high, or the reverse, e.g. to  $C(t^{\#}) = 0$  when the population dies out.

The described approach essentially minimizes attention to the stochastics of the growth factors and ignores non-linearity, hence is a prime candidate for an

upgraded treatment. Nevertheless it is appealing for its simplicity and easy availability, and is offered as an interim approach.

#### 4. Summary

It is shown that classical logistic growth can be induced in a non-traditional manner by hypothesized action of growth factors, rather than by action of a physical carrying capacity (although the latter may operate as well). Modification of the effective carrying capacity to be negative has biological interpretability. The resulting models may perhaps find a use in cell proliferation and cancer modeling.

#### References

Aaronson, S.A. (1991). Growth factors and cancer. Science, 25, pp. 1146-1153.

- Alberts, B., Bray, D., Lewis, J., Ratt, M., Roberts, K., and Watson, J.D. (1994). Molecular Biology of the Cell. Garland Publishing Co., New York.
- Murray, J.D. (1989). Mathematical Biology. Springer-Verlag.
- Rubin, J.S., Bottaro, D.P., and Aaronson, S.A. (1993). Hepatocyte growth-factor scatter factor and its receptor, the C-met protooncogene product. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, **1155**, No. 3, pp. 357-371.
- Segel, L.A. and Slemrod, M. (1989). The quasi-steady-state assumption: a case study in perturbation. *SIAM Review*, **31**, No. 3, pp. 446-477.
- Strogatz, S.H. (1994). Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co.
- Tan, W-Y. (1991). Stochastic Models of Carcinogenesis. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York.
- Verhulst, P.F. (1836). Notice sur la loi que la population suit dans son accroisement. Corr. Math. et Phys. 10, pp. 113-121.

# **DISTRIBUTION LIST**

,

| 1.  | Research Office (Code 08)  |
|-----|--|
|     | Monterey, CA 93943-5000  |
| 2.  | Dudley Knox Library (Code 52)  |
|     | Monterey, CA 93943-5002  |
| 3.  | Defense Technical Information Center   |
|     | Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-6218   |
| 4.  | Department of Operations Research  |
|     | Naval Postgraduate School  |
|     | Monterey, CA 93943-5000  |
| 5.  | Prof. Donald P. Gaver (Code OR/Gv)   |
| 6.  | Prof. Patricia A. Jacobs (Code OR/Jc)  |
|     | Naval Postgraduate School<br>Monterey, CA 93943-5000                           |
| 7.  | Dr. J. Abrahams  |
|     | Code 111, Room 607<br>Mathematical Sciences Division, Office of Naval Research |
|     | 800 North Quincy Street<br>Arlington, VA 22217-5000                            |
| 8.  | Dr. John Bailar  |
|     | Washington, DC 20024   |
| 9.  | Prof. D. R. Barr   |
|     | Dept. of Systems Engineering<br>U.S. Military Academy                          |
|     | West Point, NY 10996   |
| 10. | Dr. Frederic Bois  |
|     | Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory  |
|     | Berkeley, CA 94/20   |

| 11. | Dr. David Brillinger      |
|-----|---------------------------|
| 12. | Dr. James G. Burkhart     |
| 13. | Prof. Brad Carlin         |
| 14. | Dr. Robert Carpenter      |
| 15. | Center for Naval Analyses |
| 16. | Prof. H. Chernoff         |
| 17. | Mr. Harvey Clewell, III   |
| 18. | Dr. Edward G. Coffman, Jr |
| 19. | Prof. John Copas          |
| 20. | Prof. Sir David Cox       |

| 21. | Dr. Kenny S. Crump                                     |
|-----|--|
|     | ICF Kaiser International Inc.                          |
|     | 1201 Gaines Ave.                                       |
|     | Ruston, LA /12/0-310/                                  |
| 22. | Prof. H. G. Daellenbach                                |
|     | Dept. of Operations Research                           |
|     | University of Canterbury                               |
|     | Christchurch, NEW ZEALAND                              |
| 22  | Dr. D. F. Dalay  |
| 23. | Statistics Dept (I & S)                                |
|     | Australian National University                         |
|     | Canberra, A.C.T 2606, AUSTRALIA                        |
|     |  |
| 24. | Dr. Naihua Duan1                                       |
|     | RAND Corporation                                       |
|     | Santa Monica, CA 90406                                 |
| 25. | Prof. Bradley Efron                                    |
| 201 | Statistics Dept.                                       |
|     | Seguoia Hall   |
|     | Stanford University                                    |
|     | Stanford, CA 94305                                     |
| 24  |  |
| 26. | Dr. Guy Fayolle  |
|     | Dom de Voluceau-Rocquencourt                           |
|     | 78150 Le Chesnav Cedex, FRANCE                         |
|     |  |
| 27. | Prof. George S. Fishman                                |
|     | Curr. in OR & Systems Analysis                         |
|     | University of North Carolina                           |
|     | Chapel Hill, NC 20/42                                  |
| 28. | Henry S. Gardner                                       |
|     | U.S. Army Biological Research & Development Laboratory |
|     | Ft. Detrick, MD 21702-5010                             |
|     |  |
| 29. | Dr. Andrew Gelman                                      |
|     | Statistics Dept.                                       |
|     | Barkelov CA 94720                                      |
|     | Derkeley, CA 74/20                                     |
| 30. | Dr. Neil Gerr  |
|     | Office of Naval Research                               |
|     | Arlington, VA 22217                                    |

| 31. | Prof. Peter Glynn              |
|-----|--------------------------------|
| 32. | Prof. Linda V. Green           |
| 33. | Prof. J. Michael Harrison      |
| 34. | Dr. D. C. Hoaglin              |
| 35. | Dr. David G. Hoel              |
| 36. | Prof. D. L. Iglehart           |
| 37. | Institute for Defense Analysis |
| 38. | Dr. Robert C. Jackson          |
| 39. | Prof. J. B. Kadane             |
| 40. | Dr. F. P. Kelly                |

| 41. | Dr. Jon Kettenring  |
|-----|---------------------|
| 42. | Dr. Ralph Kodell    |
| 43. | Mr. Koh Peng Kong   |
| 44. | Prof. Guy Latouche  |
| 45. | Dr. A. J. Lawrance  |
| 46. | Prof. M. Leadbetter |
| 47. | Prof. J. Lehoczky   |
| 48. | Dr. Georg Luebeck   |
| 49. | Dr. Colin Mallows   |

| 50. | Prof. R. Douglas Martin |
|-----|-------------------------|
| 51. | Dr. Sati Mazumdar       |
| 52. | Dr. James McKenna       |
| 53. | Dr. Ramit Mehr-Grossman |
| 54. | Prof. Carl N. Morris    |
| 55. | Dr. John A. Morrison    |
| 56. | Prof. F. W. Mosteller   |
| 57. | Dr. John Orav           |
| 58. | Dr. Alan Perelson       |

| 59. | Dr. Jim Petty            |
|-----|--------------------------|
| 60. | Dr. Lorenz Rhomberg      |
| 61. | Dr. Rhonda Righter       |
| 62. | Dr. John E. Rolph        |
| 63. | Prof. M. Rosenblatt      |
| 64. | Prof. Frank Samaniego    |
| 65. | Prof. G. A. F. Seber     |
| 66. | Prof. G. Shantikumar     |
| 67. | Prof. N. D. Singpurwalla |
| 68. | Prof. H. Solomon         |

| 69. | Dr. Andrew Solow         |
|-----|--------------------------|
| 70. | Prof. W. Stuetzle        |
| 71. | Prof. J. R. Thompson     |
| 72. | Prof. Steven K. Thompson |
| 73. | Prof. J. W. Tukey        |
| 74. | Dr. D. Vere-Jones        |
| 75. | Prof. David L. Wallace   |
| 76. | Dr. Ed Wegman            |
| 77. | Dr. L. Wein              |
| 78. | Dr. Alan Weiss           |

| 79. | Prof. Roy Welsch      |
|-----|-----------------------|
| 80. | Dr. Raymond S.H. Yang |

0

~

